UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Marcelo Acevedo-Garcia	Case Number: <u>11-10212M-001</u>
present and	nce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § d was represented by counsel. I conclud if the defendant pending trial in this case	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on September 21, 2011. Defendant was e by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the FINDINGS OF FACT
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	I INDINGO OF FAOT
	The defendant is not a citizen of the	ne United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the o	charged offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant of	contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior crimina	I history.
	The defendant lives/works in Mex	ico.
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade	e law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	-	ım of years imprisonment.
Th at the time	ne Court incorporates by reference the me of the hearing in this matter, except as	aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the de	
2.		nditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		CTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a correction appeal. The of the Unit	ne defendant is committed to the custody ns facility separate, to the extent practica ne defendant shall be afforded a reasona ed States or on request of an attorney fo	y of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending able opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court r the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the rpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		ALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT deliver a c Court.	IS ORDERED that should an appeal of opy of the motion for review/reconsiderates.	this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to tion to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services s	IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release sufficiently in advance of the hearing be the potential third party custodian.	se to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial store the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE:	September 21, 2011	JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge